M·M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,172	10/06/2003	Vadim Kikin	AWI-107	2281
	7590 04/12/200 & ASSOCIATES, PLI	EXAMINER		
PRESS BUILD	ING, SUITE 902	WALSH, DANIEL I		
19 CHENANGO STREET BINGHAMTON, NY 13901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	2876	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/12/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/678,172	KIKIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniel I. Watsh	2876				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the communic	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
222 ms anathra actains a state of a local title defined depice for foodived.							
Attachmen	• •	" —	(070,440)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/678,172

Art Unit: 2876 D. Walsh

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Re line 1: Replace "programming" with – programming device --.

Appropriate correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Re line 1: Replace "transponders" with - transponders disposed on a web --.

Re line 8: Replace "and a predetermined first thickness" with – and having a predetermined first thickness --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

Application/Control Number: 10/678,172 Page 3
Art Unit: 2876 D. Walsh

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsirline et al. (US 6,848,616).

Tsirline et al. teaches an RFID programming device with a transmission line and an opening adjacent thereto to accept a web of RFID transponders inserted into the opening such that the RF field is constrained to only program one tag (abstract and FIG. 1). Though silent to a strip/microcstrip transmission line, the transceiver 42 and coupler 1 is interpreted as a strip/microstrip transmission line, as is conventional in the art, for communicating signals to the tag for selective coupling/communication. One would have been motivated to do this for selective coupling/communication. Though silent to the RF filed being constrained by the housing and transmission line, Tsirline et al. teaches selective coupling (abstract) and the use of shielding and a specific configuration of the transmission lines/coils. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that such configurations would effect/constrain the programming the RFID tags on the web, and that adjustments to such parameters could effective the constraining.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-13 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,172 Page 4
Art Unit: 2876 D. Walsh

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record fails to teach the limitations of the independent claims, including that the programming

device has a strip transmission line comprising a central conductor with a top and bottom surface

with the claimed dielectric layers, ground plane, and housing, as set forth in claim1.

6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (See PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel I. Walsh whose telephone number is (571) 272-2409. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,172

Art Unit: 2876

D. Walsh

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel I Walsh Examiner Art Unit 2876 3-23-07

DANIEL WALSH PRIMARY EXAMINER